

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,393	02/06/2004	Jason S. Erdie	EPT-15067	6337
7609 75	90 11/22/2006		EXAMINER	
RANKIN, HILL, PORTER & CLARK, LLP 925 EUCLID AVENUE, SUITE 700			ELKINS, GARY E	
	OH 44115-1405		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3782	
	•		DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		MI _
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/773,393	ERDIE, JASON S.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gary E. Elkins	3782
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to I	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in A	pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3782

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: I. Figs. 5-7; II. Fig. 8; III. Figs. 9-11 and IV. Figs. 12 and 13. The species are independent or distinct because there is no disclosed relationship between the species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Art Unit: 3782

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated

as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the

evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Gary E. Elkins

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3727

aee

14 November 2006